

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/499,207	02/07/2000	Jose Oriol Guixa Arderiu	2136/0G684	9917
7:	590 04/15/2004		EXAM	INER
Darby & Darby PC			IP, SIKYIN	
805 Third Avenue			ART UNIT	DADED MINADED
New York, NY	10022		ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER
			1742	
			DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/499,207	GUIXA ARDERIU ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sikyin Ip	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 06 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (fondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearance (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	HIS APPLICATION IN Cavoid abandonment of the standard amendment of the standard amendment (with appeal fee); or (	ONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  It is application. A proper reply to a nent which places the application in a timely filed Request for Continued.	
	REPLY [check either a) o	ու ɒ)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Ottimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	e later than SIX MONTHS from AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS from the date on which the petition to dof extension and the correspond the shortened statutory period (Figure 1.704(b)).	ITHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extended amount of the fee. The appropriate extended for reply originally set in the final Office action after the mailing date of the final rejection, even	ension ension ension
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	FR 1.191(d)), to avoid a	thin the period set forth in ismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require furt	ther consideration and/o	r search (see NOTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);		4h -
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appe		tne
(d) they present additional claims without canc	eling a corresponding n	umber of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ection(s):		nent
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ald be allowable if submi		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ return the application in condition for allowance 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	e because: <u>see continua</u> because it is not directed	SOLELY to issues which were newly	piac
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment of the explanation of how the new or amended claims	ent(s) a) will not be end would be rejected is pro	ntered or b) will be entered and an ovided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	vs:		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>19,21 and 22</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5,7-12,14,15,17 and 18</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a	approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure States	ment(s)( PTO-1449) Pa	per No(s)	
0.[		3	
10 ☐ Other:		,	
10. Other:			
10. Other:		SKYIN IP	

Applicant(s)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reason as set forth in the final office action. Applicants argue that Sn and Ti elements in JP '050 have detrimental effect. But, applicants have not provide factual evidence to substantiate their position. Furthermore, the claimed "order of tens" is found inconsistent with Ag content as disclosed in the declaration filed 10/03/03. Thus, the claimed elements are not limited from 10 to 99 ppm.